

## Guidance on the circumstances in which the Adjudication Panel would consider accepting a reference from a Standards Committee under Regulation 17 of the Standards Committee (England) Regulations 2008.

1. The Standards Committee (England) Regulations 2008 include provision (Regulation 17 (1) (c)) for a Standards Committee to make a finding that a matter should be referred for determination by the Adjudication Panel. Before such a referral can be made the Standards Committee need themselves to have determined that the action which the Standards Committee could itself take (if there were a finding that there had been a failure to follow the provisions of the Code) would be insufficient. The reference itself can be made only if the President or Deputy President has agreed to accept it.
2. The possibility of such a reference arises only after a monitoring officer has produced a report for the Standards Committee. The President of the Adjudication Panel is willing to indicate, prior to the consideration of that report by the Standards Committee, whether he would agree to accept such a referral. Such agreement would not commit the Standards Committee to making such a referral but would avoid the Standards Committee seeking to refer matters which were not suitable.
3. The maximum sanction which a Standards Committee can impose is a suspension for a period of six months. Thus the primary question to determine as to whether a reference can be accepted is whether, if a breach of the Code had occurred, a more severe sanction than six months suspension would be appropriate.
4. It would be rare for the Adjudication Panel's Case Tribunals to impose a suspension for longer than six months, not least because the effect of such a lengthy suspension might be seen as leading to constituents being left without effective representation at a time when the suspended elected member is not able to fulfil his responsibilities.
5. Less rarely, however, a Case Tribunal will **disqualify** an elected member. Whereas a suspension will apply only to the particular council whose Code of Conduct has not been followed, a disqualification will preclude the member concerned from being appointed to **any** relevant authority. Thus it would be an appropriate sanction for a member whose conduct leads to the view that the member concerned is unfit to hold such public office.
6. The Adjudication Panel has already published guidance ([Guidance on decisions available to a Case Tribunal](#)) as to when disqualification is likely to be an appropriate sanction. The following is an extract from that guidance:
  - 14.1 *The Respondent has deliberately sought personal gain (for either him or herself or some other person) at the public expense by exploiting his or her membership of the body subject to the Code of Conduct.*
  - 14.2 *The Respondent has deliberately sought to misuse his or her position in order to disadvantage some other person.*

- 14.3 *The Respondent has deliberately failed to abide by the Code of Conduct, for example as a protest against the legislative scheme of which the Code forms part. Members of local authorities are expected to uphold the law. Where the Code has been deliberately breached to reflect the Respondent's opposition to the principles underlying the legislation, the Case Tribunal is likely to think of a disqualification of one year.*
- 14.4 *There have been repeated breaches of the Code of Conduct by the Respondent.*
- 14.5 *The Respondent has misused power or public assets for political gain.*
- 14.6 *The Respondent has misused council property.*
- 14.7 *The Respondent has committed a criminal offence punishable by a sentence of three months or more imprisonment.*
15. *There may be other factors not listed above which also merit disqualification. Nor will disqualification always be appropriate even if the listed factors are present.*
17. *Disqualification may be imposed as an alternative to suspension in order to avoid an authority being inquorate or the electorate left without adequate representation. Disqualification would allow by-elections to take place whereas this would not be possible if the member concerned were suspended.*
7. The President or Deputy President is likely to agree to accept references for matters which are of a kind which would merit disqualification.
8. It is important to bear in mind that the decisions on whether to refer, and whether to accept such a referral, are being made on the hypothetical basis of a finding that there has been a breach of the Code of Conduct. In taking a decision as to whether to accept a proposed reference, the President or Deputy President would not usually seek to form a view as to how likely it is that such a finding would be made. Nor would they usually seek to form a view on whether there are particular mitigating circumstances which would cause a Case Tribunal not to disqualify a member even though such disqualification might usually be seen as appropriate for a breach of the kind concerned. Those are decisions which should properly be left to the Case Tribunal hearing the case.
9. A reference to the Adjudication Panel should include:
- a brief description of the conduct which has given rise to the complaint
  - details of:
    - when the member was elected.
    - when the member made a declaration to abide by the Code.
    - relevant training the member has received.
    - the member's committee membership or Executive responsibilities; and
    - a note of any appointments to other bodies on behalf of the council and of any membership of other relevant authorities.
  - a copy of the relevant Code of Conduct should be appended.
  - the reference should indicate what paragraphs of the Code have been under consideration.
  - the investigating officer's report to the Standards Committee should be appended. The Standards Board for England has provided a template for such a report in its publication '[Local Standards Framework - Guide for Authorities.](#)'
  - A list giving the names, addresses and contact details of:
    - the respondent.
    - complainant.
    - monitoring officer.
    - investigating officer.
    - Standards Committee correspondent.
    - any legal representatives.